

The Splicing Factor RBM4 Controls Apoptosis, Proliferation, and Migration to Suppress Tumor Progression

Yang Wang,^{1,2,*} Dan Chen,³ Haili Qian,⁴ Yihuan S. Tsai,² Shujuan Shao,⁵ Quentin Liu,¹ Daniel Dominguez,² and Zefeng Wang^{2,*}

¹Institute of Cancer Stem Cell, Second Affiliated Hospital, Cancer Center, Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116044, China

²Department of Pharmacology and Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

³Department of Pathology, First Affiliated Hospital, Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116001, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100021, China

⁵Key Laboratory of Proteomics of Liaoning Province, Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116044, China

*Correspondence: yangwang@dlmedu.edu.cn (Y.W.), zefeng@med.unc.edu (Z.W.)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.07.010>

SUMMARY

Splicing dysregulation is one of the molecular hallmarks of cancer. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly defined. Here we report that the splicing factor RBM4 suppresses proliferation and migration of various cancer cells by specifically controlling cancer-related splicing. Particularly, RBM4 regulates Bcl-x splicing to induce apoptosis, and coexpression of Bcl-xL partially reverses the RBM4-mediated tumor suppression. Moreover, RBM4 antagonizes an oncogenic splicing factor, SRSF1, to inhibit mTOR activation. Strikingly, RBM4 expression is decreased dramatically in cancer patients, and the RBM4 level correlates positively with improved survival. In addition to providing mechanistic insights of cancer-related splicing dysregulation, this study establishes RBM4 as a tumor suppressor with therapeutic potential and clinical values as a prognostic factor.

INTRODUCTION

As one of the most prevalent mechanisms of gene regulation, alternative splicing (AS) plays a vital role in the intricate regulation of protein function, and splicing dysregulation is closely associated with human cancers (David and Manley, 2010; Oltman and Bates, 2013; Venables, 2006). Accumulating evidence suggests that aberrant AS elicits control over major hallmarks of cancer, including apoptosis (Schwerk and Schulze-Osthoff, 2005), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Warzecha et al., 2010), and tumor invasion and metastasis (Ghigna et al., 2008). The “cancerous” splicing variants of specific genes can serve as molecular markers of cancer (e.g., CD44 and WT1) (Venables et al., 2008) or directly mediate cancer pathogenesis (e.g. BRCA1 and p53) (Venables, 2006). However,

knowledge of the mechanistic details underlying deregulated splicing in cancer is still limited.

AS is generally regulated by multiple *cis*-elements that recruit splicing factors to affect adjacent splice sites (ss) via various mechanisms (Matera and Wang, 2014; Matlin et al., 2005; Wang and Burge, 2008). Common splicing factors include serine/arginine-rich (SR) proteins that promote splicing by binding to exons but inhibit splicing by binding to introns (Erkelenz et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013) and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) that positively or negatively control splicing in different pre-mRNA regions (Wang et al., 2012). The expression level, localization, and activity of splicing factors generally determine splicing outcomes in different tissues and cellular conditions. Therefore, altered splicing factor activity is believed to be a main cause of splicing dysregulation in cancer

Significance

Aberrant splicing is closely associated with human cancers. However, the mechanistic details underlying these connections are largely unknown. Investigating splicing factors that play vital roles in cancer progression would enable therapeutic targeting of deregulated splicing and open new avenues for cancer therapy. Here we systematically dissect an RBM4-mediated splicing regulation pathway that is closely related to cancer progression. We uncover that the splicing factor RBM4 suppresses tumor progression by balancing the pro- and antiapoptotic signals through splicing regulation and by antagonizing the oncogenic splicing factor SRSF1. The clinical relevance of such regulation is also revealed. This study represents a detailed mechanism of cancer-related splicing dysregulation and establishes RBM4 as a tumor suppressor with therapeutic potential.

(Bechara et al., 2013; Shkreta et al., 2013). For example, SRSF1 is a proto-oncogene that controls splicing of several cancer-related genes, including those in the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway (Blaustein et al., 2005; Karni et al., 2007). Because splicing dysregulation is one of the molecular hallmarks of cancer (Oltean and Bates, 2013), specifically targeting splicing factors opens potential new avenues for cancer therapy (Dehm, 2013).

We have previously identified RNA-binding motif 4 (RBM4) as a binding factor for a group of intronic splicing regulatory elements that control the AS of human genes (Wang et al., 2012). Initially identified by sharing the nuclear import pathway with SR proteins, RBM4 shuttles between the cytoplasm and nucleus but is mostly found in nuclear speckles (Lai et al., 2003), where most splicing events occur. RBM4 has been shown consistently to control the AS of Tau and α -tropomyosin (Kar et al., 2006; Lin and Tarn, 2005). In addition, RBM4 has been found to affect translation (Lin and Tarn, 2009; Uniacke et al., 2012). Multiple physiological functions have been reported for RBM4, including mediating differentiation of muscle and pancreas cells (Lin et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2013). However, the involvement of RBM4 in tumorigenesis has not been reported. Here we systematically analyzed RBM4-mediated changes of the transcriptome and assessed the role of RBM4 in cancer progression.

RESULTS

RBM4 Is a Sequence-Specific Splicing Inhibitor that Regulates Various AS Events

Previously we identified several groups of intronic splicing regulatory elements and their cognate splicing factors (Wang et al., 2012, 2013). We demonstrated that, of those factors, RBM4 specifically binds to the GTAACG motif to inhibit splicing from introns (Wang et al., 2012). In addition, another RBM4 binding motif (CGG repeats) was also identified with crosslinking immunoprecipitation sequencing (Uniacke et al., 2012). Because AS is usually regulated in a context-dependent manner, we sought to examine how RBM4 controls splicing when bound to distinct RNA motifs in different pre-mRNA contexts.

We generated four splicing reporters with candidate RBM4-binding motifs (GTAACG or CGGCGG) inserted in different regions to examine whether RBM4 can specifically alter their splicing (Figure 1). First, we found that RBM4 specifically inhibited the inclusion of a cassette exon containing its cognate binding sites, whereas the control reporter was not affected (Figure 1A). Furthermore, RBM4 specifically suppressed the inclusion of a reporter exon with a downstream RBM4 binding site (Figure 1B). These results suggest that RBM4 functions as a general splicing inhibitor to specifically suppress splicing from both exonic and intronic contexts. Such activities are in contrast to DAZAP1, a splicing factor that recognizes the same GTAACG site but functions as a splicing activator (Choudhury et al., 2014). Interestingly, DAZAP1 does not affect splicing of exons containing a nearby CGGCGG site (Figures S1A and S1B available online), suggesting a partial overlap of binding specificity and an incomplete functional competition between RBM4 and DAZAP1.


Using splicing reporters containing RBM4-binding motifs between alternative 5' ss or 3' ss, we found that RBM4 reduced

the use of the downstream 5' ss (Figure 1C) or upstream 3' ss (Figure 1D). The inhibition of distal alternative ss is again sequence-specific because RBM4 showed no effect on the control reporters (Figures 1C and 1D). Consistently, knockdown of RBM4 with small hairpin RNA had opposite effects by increasing exon inclusion of the same splicing reporters that contain RBM4-binding sites in various locations (Figures S1C–S1F). In addition, similar results were obtained in a different cell type (e.g. HeLa cells), indicating that the splicing regulation activity of RBM4 is not limited to a specific cell line (Figures S1G–S1J). Together, these data demonstrate that RBM4 is a general splicing inhibitor that controls different types of AS when specifically binding to pre-mRNA.

Like many canonical splicing factors, RBM4 has a modular domain configuration. The N terminus contains two RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) and a CCHC-type zinc finger that can specifically bind RNAs, whereas the C terminus contains a low-complexity region (i.e. Ala-rich stretches) that can interact with other proteins (Lin and Tarn, 2009) (Figure 1E). To examine whether RBM4 has a modular role in splicing regulation, we fused the full-length N- or C-terminal fragments of RBM4 to another RNA binding domain, Pumilio/FBF (PUF) (Wang et al., 2009). We coexpressed the fusion proteins with splicing reporters containing cognate PUF targets inside an alternative exon (Figure 1F) or at a downstream intron (Figure 1G) and measured how splicing is affected. As expected, tethering the full-length RBM4 to a target site inside an alternative exon suppressed exon inclusion. Surprisingly, tethering either the N- or C-terminal domain of RBM4 partially inhibited exon inclusion (Figure 1F), suggesting that the RNA binding fragment and the low-complexity domain both serve as functional modules. Such an effect is sequence-specific because these fusion proteins had no effect on control reporters with a noncognate target. Consistently, the full-length RBM4 inhibited exon inclusion when tethered downstream of a cassette exon (Figure 1G). Interestingly, the N-terminal fragment partially inhibited splicing from an intron, whereas the C terminus showed a slight splicing-inhibitory activity (Figure 1G). Together, the N-terminal RNA-binding fragment and the C-terminal low-complexity domain of RBM4 function cooperatively to control different types of AS events in a sequence-specific manner.

Global Regulation of the Transcriptome by RBM4 in Cancer-Related Genes

To gain further insights into RBM4-regulated AS events and, thereby, its physiological functions, we conducted high-throughput sequencing of mRNA (mRNA-seq) with H157 cells expressing RBM4. With ~80 million 100-nt paired-end reads, we identified 473 RBM4-regulated AS events with an obvious change of percent-spliced-in (PSI) values ($\text{PSI} \geq 0.15$). Figure 2A shows the read tracks of two examples. We found that various types of AS can be regulated by RBM4, including skipped exon (SE), alternative 5' ss exon (A5E), alternative 3' ss exon (A3E), retained intron (RI), mutually exclusive exons (MXE), and tandem UTR (TUTR) (Figure 2B; Table S1). Subsequent analysis indicated that most of the AS events were negatively regulated by RBM4 (decreased PSI value by RBM4 expression) (Figure 2C), consistent with our finding that RBM4 suppressed splicing when binding directly to its pre-mRNA targets (Figures 1A–1D).



(legend on next page)

We further analyzed RNA motifs in RBM4-regulated pre-mRNAs by extracting the sequences near the RBM4-regulated SEs or between alternative 5' ss of A5E. The relative abundance of RBM4 binding motifs (GTAACG and CGGCGG) in these regions was compared with control exons unaffected by RBM4 (Fairbrother et al., 2002). We found that RBM4-binding motifs are enriched near the SEs or A5Es negatively regulated by RBM4 (Figure 2D), consistent with the model that RBM4 directly recognizes these pre-mRNAs to control splicing. The AS events apparently promoted by RBM4 are likely due to indirect effects because these exons lack known RBM4 binding motifs (Figure 2D).

When analyzing cellular functions of RBM4-regulated AS events using gene ontology, we found that RBM4 affects genes in the RNA processing pathway, including translation control, RNA processing, and the mRNA metabolic process (Figure 2E). Such functional enrichment is not surprising because RBM4 is an RNA binding factor known to regulate splicing and translation. Intriguingly, RBM4 targets are also enriched with cancer-related functions such as regulation of the NF- κ B cascade and cell cycle. In addition, several RBM4-regulated AS events were found to regulate the apoptotic pathway. Although this enrichment of apoptosis is slightly below our significance cutoff, the changes of PSI value are fairly large and, therefore, may have significant functional consequences. Many of the RBM4-regulated splicing targets were functionally connected into well linked interaction networks, as judged by the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) (Figure 2F). As expected, two large subgroups of RBM4 targets contain genes involved in translation control and RNA processing. Surprisingly, the other subgroup includes many genes involved in cell migration and adhesion (Figure 2F). Taken together, these results suggest that the biological processes affected by RBM4 are related to apoptosis, proliferation, migration, and tumorigenesis.

We subsequently validated mRNA-seq results by measuring the splicing change of ten newly identified targets that were selected arbitrarily to include genes with a cancer-related function. We confirmed that RBM4 either positively or negatively controls all endogenous AS events tested (Figure 2G) and that the relative changes of PSIs obtained from RT-PCR are highly correlated to those observed by mRNA-seq (Figure S2A; $R^2 = 0.6$). These events were also validated in another cell line (HeLa) (Figure S2B), suggesting that RBM4 can regulate AS with consistent activity across different cell types. In addition, we found that knockdown of RBM4 caused opposite changes of splicing in

endogenous RBM4 targets, further confirming the reliability of our analyses (Figures S2C and S2D).

We also analyzed how RBM4 affects global gene expression. We identified 185 genes with significant expression change (>2 -fold with adjusted $p < 0.05$) (Table S2). These genes are associated significantly with cancer-related functions, as judged by gene ontology (including DNA replication, chemotaxis, cell proliferation, response to wounding, cell cycle, and cell migration; Figure 2H), again suggesting that RBM4 is involved in cancer cell proliferation and migration. Many RBM4-regulated genes were also connected functionally into a densely linked network that contains genes involved in regulating cell proliferation, wound healing, cell cycle, and DNA damage (Figure 2I). The selected RBM4 targets were further validated with real-time RT-PCRs (Figure 2J). Taken together, these data imply that RBM4 may be a key regulator of cell proliferation and migration, therefore controlling cancer progression.

RBM4 Inhibits Cancer Cell Proliferation and Migration


To examine this possible role of RBM4 in cancer progression, we stably expressed RBM4 in a panel of human cancer cells, including H157 (lung cancer), MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer), SKOV3 (ovarian cancer), Panc-1 (pancreatic cancer), HepG2 (liver cancer), and PC-3 (prostate cancer) (Figure S3A). Strikingly, in all cancer cells tested, RBM4 inhibited anchorage-dependent or anchorage-independent growth, as judged by colony formation or soft agar assay (Figure 3A). In addition, RBM4 inhibited migration of these cells in a wound healing assay (Figure 3B). Together, the inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and migration by RBM4 suggests that it may function as a tumor suppressor.

We further analyzed how RBM4 affects cancer progression using non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) cells, which represent one of the most prevalent human cancers. The RBM4 levels were decreased markedly in a panel of NSCLC cells compared with normal bronchial cells (Figure 3C). Consistently, when re-expressed in a NSCLC cell line, H157, RBM4 significantly inhibited cell growth (Figure 3D; $p = 0.02$ by t test). Similar growth inhibition by RBM4 was observed in 293T cells (Figures S3B and S3C). Interestingly, although both the N- and C termini of RBM4 partially regulate splicing, lung cancer cells expressing either domain (amino acids (aa) 1–177 or aa 178–364 of RBM4) displayed normal growth rates (Figure 3E), suggesting that both domains are required to suppress tumorigenesis.

To further assess whether RBM4 affects cancer growth in vivo, we determined whether RBM4 re-expression can suppress

Figure 1. Splicing Regulation by RBM4

(A) The RBM4 binding sites and a control (GAATTG) were inserted into splicing reporter pGZ3 and cotransfected with the RBM4 expression vector or an empty vector (mock) into 293T cells. Splicing changes were examined by electrophoresis of RT-PCR products.
(B) The same set of sequences as analyzed in (A) was inserted downstream of a cassette exon in the pZW2C reporter to measure splicing changes as in (A).
(C and D) The same set of RBM4-binding sequences as analyzed in (A) was inserted into the splicing reporters between two tandem sites with competing 5' (C) or 3' ss (D), and splicing changes were measured as in (A).
(E) Schematics of RBM4 domains. The R1R2Z fragment contains two RRM domains and a zinc finger domain. The polyalanine fragment contains a polyalanine stretch.
(F and G) Different RBM4 fragments were fused to a PUF domain, PUF(3-2), that specifically binds to its target RNA. The fusion proteins were cotransfected with a splicing reporter containing a PUF binding site or a control (Ct) site in a cassette exon (F) or at a downstream intron (G), and splicing changes were measured as in (A). The arrowhead indicates a nonspecific product (F).
In panels measuring changes in splicing, expression of exogenous protein was confirmed by western blot analyses. Tubulin served as a protein loading control. Three independent experiments were conducted, with the mean \pm SD of PSIs plotted below the representative gels. * $p < 0.05$ as calculated by Student's t test. See also Figure S1.



(legend on next page)

tumor growth in a xenograft mouse model. We generated H157-luc-RBM4 cells and control cells with lentiviral vectors and injected them subcutaneously into the flanks of nude mice (left flank, RBM4; right flank, control). The growth of tumors was measured every 3 days for 5 weeks, and xenograft tumors were removed for final analysis. Consistent with the *in vitro* results, cells expressing RBM4 developed smaller tumors compared with control cells (Figures 3F and 3G). In addition, the xenograft tumors with RBM4 re-expression grew much slower than controls (Figure 3H), suggesting that RBM4 substantially inhibits cancer progression *in vivo*. Together, these findings indicate that RBM4 is a potent tumor suppressor that inhibits lung cancer progression both in cultured cells and in a tumor xenograft model.

RBM4 Induces Cancer Cell Apoptosis via Regulating AS of Bcl-x

To determine the mechanisms of how RBM4 affects cancer progression, we focused on an RBM4 target gene, Bcl-x, an apoptosis regulator that produces two splicing isoforms with opposite functions. By alternative use of 5' ss, Bcl-x is spliced as an antiapoptotic isoform (Bcl-xL) or a proapoptotic isoform (Bcl-xS) (Adams and Cory, 2007). RBM4 expression appeared to shift Bcl-xL into Bcl-xS (Figure 2G). Such a shift requires an entire RBM4 because neither the N terminus nor the C terminus can affect Bcl-x splicing by itself (Figure S4A). We identified a potential RBM4 binding site (CGGCGG) between the two alternative 5' ss (Figure 4A), implying that RBM4 may control splicing through binding directly to Bcl-x pre-mRNA. Consistently, with an RNA immunoprecipitation assay, we found that RBM4 indeed binds directly to the endogenous Bcl-x pre-mRNA but not the control pre-mRNA of another alternatively spliced apoptotic gene (Mcl1) (Figure 4B). Using a splicing reporter containing Bcl-x pre-mRNA, we found that RBM4 binding is indeed dependent on the CGGCGG site because mutation of this site abolished RNA-protein interaction (Figure 4C). Replacing the mutated sequence with the other RBM4-binding site (GTAACG) restored the interaction, confirming that RBM4 directly recognizes the exon extension region of Bcl-x.

In addition to H157 cells, an inducible expression of RBM4 also shifted splicing of Bcl-x in 293 cells (Figure 4D). This shift caused a rapid and robust decrease of Bcl-xL protein, as judged

by western blot analysis (Figure S4B). To determine whether the binding by RBM4 is responsible for the observed splicing shift, we cotransfected RBM4 with a series of Bcl-x reporters containing various mutations near the alternative 5' ss (Figure 4A). We found that RBM4 shifted the splicing of the wild-type reporter by reducing Bcl-xL and that such a regulation was not affected by three exonic mutations (mutations 1–3) (Figure 4E). However, the mutation of the RBM4 binding site (mut 4) completely abolished the splicing regulation through RBM4, indicating that the RBM4 binding motif (CGGCGG) is indeed responsible for the Bcl-x splicing switch. Importantly, replacing CGGCGG with another RBM4 binding site (mut 5) restored the regulation by RBM4 (Figure 4E), suggesting that binding of RBM4 to Bcl-x pre-mRNA is sufficient to shift splicing.

The two splicing isoforms of Bcl-x have opposite functions in controlling apoptosis (Adams and Cory, 2007). Bcl-xL is the predominant isoform in cancer, and RNAi of Bcl-xL has been shown to induce apoptosis in several cancer cell lines (Mercatante et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2005). We found that expression of RBM4 in H157 cells substantially reduced the level of Bcl-xL protein, resulting in the cleavage of caspase 3 and poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP), two molecular markers of apoptosis (Figure 4F). Consistently, RBM4 dramatically increased spontaneous apoptosis, as judged by flow cytometry (Figure 4G; Figure S4C). These results support the model that sequence-specific binding of RBM4 to Bcl-x pre-mRNA shifts its splicing from antiapoptotic Bcl-xL to proapoptotic Bcl-xS, thereby promoting cancer cell death.

RBM4 Suppresses Tumor Progression in Part through Bcl-x

Because RBM4 may inhibit cancer proliferation through modulating Bcl-x splicing, we next examined whether coexpression of Bcl-xL, but not other similar apoptotic regulators, can overturn the tumor suppressor activity of RBM4. We stably transfected the parental H157 line containing re-expressed RBM4 with Bcl-xL or another apoptotic inhibitor, Mcl-1 (Figure 5A), generating a cell line with a partially restored Bcl-xL/Bcl-xS ratio and reduced PARP cleavage (Figure 5B). We found that cells expressing RBM4/Bcl-xL grew much faster than those expressing RBM4 alone, although the growth rate was not fully restored compared with the control (Figure 5C). However, cells

Figure 2. Global Splicing and Transcriptional Regulation by RBM4

(A) Examples of alternative exons affected by RBM4. Genes were chosen to represent both an increase and a decrease of PSI, and the numbers of exon junction reads are indicated.

(B) Quantification of the different AS events affected by RBM4.

(C) The relative fraction of each AS event affected positively or negatively by RBM4.

(D) Relative enrichment of the indicated RNA motifs bound by RBM4. Enrichment scores were computed by comparing RBM4-regulated SEs or ASEs with control AS events unaffected by RBM4. AS events with increased or decreased PSI values upon RBM4 expression were analyzed separately.

(E) Gene ontology of RBM4-regulated AS targets. Fisher exact p values were plotted for each enriched functional category.

(F) Functional association network of RBM4-regulated AS targets. The genes in (E) were analyzed using the STRING database, and subgroups are marked according to their functions.


(G) Validation of different types of RBM4-regulated AS events by semiquantitative RT-PCR using H157 cells transfected with RBM4 or control vectors. The mean \pm SD of PSIs from three experiments were plotted (p values were calculated by paired Student's t test).

(H) Gene ontology analyses of RBM4-regulated gene expression events. Fisher exact p values were plotted for each category.

(I) The functional association networks of RBM4-regulated genes were analyzed using the STRING database, with subgroups marked by their functions.

(J) Validation of gene expression changes by real-time RT-PCR. The mean \pm SD of relative fold changes from triplicate experiments were plotted, with p values calculated by paired Student's t test.

See also Figure S2 and Tables S1 and S2.



(legend on next page)

expressing RBM4/Mcl-1 showed a similar growth rate compared with cells expressing RBM4 alone (Figure 5C), indicating that such phenotypical rescue is specific for Bcl-xL. In addition, cancer cells expressing RBM4/Bcl-xL migrated significantly faster than cells expressing RBM4 alone or RBM4/Mcl-1 (Figure 5D), again suggesting that restoring the Bcl-xL level partially reversed the RBM4 phenotype. Consistently, the xenograft tumors generated from RBM4/Bcl-xL cells were significantly larger than those from RBM4/vector cells, indicating that reducing the Bcl-xL level is partially responsible for RBM4-mediated tumor suppression in vivo (Figure 5E). This phenotypic rescue is robust and statistically significant, although it could not fully restore tumor progression, probably because of the partial reversal of the Bcl-xL/Bcl-xS ratio (Figure 5B).

We further applied a specific Bcl-xL inhibitor (WEHI-539) in cells expressing RBM4 and examined its effect on cell growth. Consistent with a previous report (Lessene et al., 2013), WEHI-539 did not significantly affect the viability of control cells. However, WEHI-539 treatment inhibited the proliferation of RBM4-expressing cancer cells compared with untreated cells (Figures 5F and 5G). Such an apparent synergistic effect may reflect two mechanisms that are not mutually exclusive: (1) Through splicing regulation, RBM4 reduces the level of Bcl-xL to the extent where the WEHI-539 can have a detectable effect; (2) RBM4 inhibits cell proliferation through other mechanisms in addition to reducing antiapoptotic Bcl-xL, whereas WEHI-539 specifically inhibits Bcl-xL. By targeting parallel pro-survival pathways, the combination of RBM4 and WEHI-539 synergistically suppressed cancer cell proliferation.

Consistently, we found an increased expression of Bcl-xL in lung cancers, breast cancers, and pancreatic cancers, which is correlated inversely to the RBM4 level (Figure 5H; Figures S5A and S5B). This finding further supports the hypothesis that RBM4 inhibits tumor progression (at least partially) via controlling Bcl-x splicing.

RBM4 Antagonizes Oncogenic SRSF1 to Inhibit mTOR Activation

Although our data clearly demonstrate that RBM4 suppresses cancer progression by modulating Bcl-x splicing, this may not be the only mechanism because coexpression of Bcl-xL partially reversed the phenotype of RBM4. To eliminate the apoptosis ef-

fect, we treated cells with a pan-caspase inhibitor, carboben-zoxy-valyl-alanyl-aspartyl (Z-VAD). We found that, even when the apoptosis was inhibited strongly (Figure 6A), proliferation and migration of cancer cells were still suppressed significantly by RBM4 (Figure 6B). This observation suggests that RBM4 might also inhibit cancer progression through other mechanisms besides regulating apoptosis.

It has been reported previously that the general splicing factor SRSF1 functions as a proto-oncogene to transform rodent fibroblasts (Karni et al., 2007). We found that RBM4 interacted with SRSF1 in a coimmunoprecipitation assay (Figure S6A). Remarkably, RBM4 can reduce the protein level of SRSF1 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6C). Such inhibition is specific to SRSF1 because two other splicing factors, DAZAP1 and hnRNPA1, were not affected (Figure 6C). Similar results were also obtained in a cell line with inducible expression of RBM4 (Figure S6B). Since SRSF1 is a well characterized oncogenic factor to promote tumorigenesis through multiple pathways (Anczuków et al., 2012; Karni et al., 2007), our observation suggests that RBM4 may also inhibit cancer progression by antagonizing SRSF1.

SRSF1 is known to control multiple AS events that promote tumorigenesis (Anczuków et al., 2012; Karni et al., 2007). For example, BIN1 is a tumor suppressor that binds to MYC (Sakamuro et al., 1996), and SRSF1 promotes inclusion of BIN1 exon 12a to generate a BIN1+12 isoform that lacks tumor suppressor activity (Karni et al., 2007). SRSF1 also inhibits the exclusion of exon 11 in RON, generating RONΔ11, which promotes cell migration and invasion (Anczuków et al., 2012). We examined whether RBM4 could affect the splicing of cancer-related SRSF1 targets using cells stably expressing SRSF1, RBM4, or SRSF1/RBM4. As expected, RBM4 regulated splicing of both BIN1 and RON in an opposite fashion as SRSF1, shifting their splicing toward antioncogenic isoforms (Figure 6D; Figure S6C).

SRSF1 has also been reported to activate the mTOR pathway by increasing phosphorylation of S6K1 and 4E-BP1 as well as by promoting oncogenic S6K1 splicing isoform 2 (Karni et al., 2007; Karni et al., 2008). Coexpression of RBM4 with SRSF1 substantially inhibited SRSF1-induced mTOR activation, as judged by the dramatic reduction in the phosphorylation of S6K1 and 4E-BP1 (Figure 6E). However, phosphorylation of two upstream

Figure 3. RBM4 Inhibits Cancer Progression

(A) RBM4 effects on the proliferation of various cancer cells, including H157, MDA-MB-231, SKOV3, Panc-1, HepG2, and PC-3 cells. The cells were stably transfected with RBM4 or a vector control and analyzed by colony formation (top panels) or soft agar (bottom panels) assays. All experiments were performed in triplicate, with mean \pm SD of relative colony numbers plotted (p values were calculated by Student's t test). Images of the whole plate are shown in the top panels. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

(B) Different cancer cell lines expressing RBM4 or a vector control were analyzed by wound healing assay. Percent of wound closure was measured in triplicate experiments, with mean \pm SD plotted (p values were calculated by Student's t test). Scale bar, 200 μ m.

(C) Levels of RBM4 in the indicated NSCLC cell lines and normal bronchial cells were measured by western blot analysis.

(D) H157 cells stably expressing RBM4 or a vector control were grown for 9 days, with cell numbers counted every 2 days. The changes of cell numbers were compared to day 0. The mean \pm SD from three experiments was plotted.

(E) H157 cells expressing full-length (FL) RBM4 or the N-terminal (N-term) or C-terminal (C-term) fragments of RBM4 were analyzed by colony formation assay. Representative pictures of the whole plates from triplicate experiments are shown. The mean \pm SD of relative colony numbers were plotted, with p values calculated by Student's t test.

(F) H157-luc-RBM4 and control cells were injected subcutaneously into the left and right flanks of seven nude mice. The growth of xenograft tumors was monitored by bioluminescence imaging on days 3 and 35, and pictures of two representative mice are shown.

(G) Pictures of the tumors removed after 35 days.

(H) The average sizes of xenograft tumors measured every 3 days (n = 7, error bars indicate SD, p < 0.05 by Student's t test).

See also Figure S3.




Figure 4. RBM4 Regulates Bcl-x Splicing to Induce Apoptosis

(A) Schematic of Bcl-x pre-mRNA with the potential RBM4-binding site shown in red. Bcl-x splicing reporters with the indicated mutations (mut1–mut5) were generated.

(B) Binding of Bcl-x pre-mRNAs with RBM4 was detected by RNA immunoprecipitation (IP) assay in cells exogenously expressing FLAG-RBM4 or a vector control. The binding of Mcl-1 mRNA was used as a specificity control.

(C) 293 cells were cotransfected with FLAG-RBM4 or a vector control and the indicated mutant or wild-type (WT) Bcl-x reporters and then immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody. The coprecipitated RNAs were detected by RT-PCR.

(D) 293 cells containing tetracycline-inducible RBM4 or vector control were used to measure Bcl-x splicing. Increased levels of Bcl-xS in uninduced cells were likely due to expression leakage. The mean \pm SD of PSI from triplicate experiments were plotted.

(E) Bcl-x splicing reporters containing various mutations were coexpressed with RBM4 or vector control in 293T cells to assay for the splicing change of Bcl-x. The mean \pm SD of Bcl-xS% was plotted. A representative gel from triplicate experiments is shown.

(F) H157 cells expressing RBM4 or a vector control were used to examine apoptotic markers, including Bcl-xL, cleaved caspase 3 (Casp3), and PARP.

(G) Expression of RBM4 promotes apoptosis. H157 cells expressing RBM4 or controls were stained with propidium iodide, and the apoptotic cells were detected by flow cytometry. The mean \pm SD of the percentage of apoptotic cells from triplicate experiments was plotted.

See also Figure S4.

components of the mTOR signaling pathway, Akt and Erk, are not affected by RBM4. Interestingly, coexpression of RBM4 also reduced S6K1 isoform 2 (Figure 6E; Figure S6D), an SRSF1-activated oncogenic variant that induces cell transformation by activating the mTOR pathway (Ben-Hur et al., 2013).

In addition, expression of RBM4 alone also inhibits insulin-induced mTOR activation, as judged by reduced phosphorylation of S6K1, 4E-BP1, and mTOR itself (Figure 6E). The phosphorylation of Erk and Akt was not affected, suggesting that RBM4 controls the downstream stages of mTOR activation. This observation indicates that RBM4 can also directly inhibit mTOR activation. Because the mTOR pathway plays key roles in promoting cell proliferation, inhibition of mTOR by RBM4 probably contributes to its tumor suppressor activity.

SRSF1 is also predicted to bind the same CGGCGG site in Bcl-x pre-mRNAs (Figure 4A; Figure S6E), indicating that RBM4 and SRSF1 may counteract each other in tuning the

Bcl-x splicing switch. We coexpressed the Bcl-x splicing reporters (wild-type and CGGCGG mutation) with RBM4, SRSF1, or RBM4/SRSF1. As expected, SRSF1 promoted the antiapoptotic Bcl-xL isoforms, whereas RBM4 reduced Bcl-xL. In addition, coexpression of RBM4 can overturn the activity of SRSF1 to increase the proapoptotic Bcl-xS isoform (Figure 6F). Consistently, when the binding site (CGGCGG) was mutated, the splicing regulation of Bcl-x by both RBM4 and SRSF1 was abolished, confirming that they control Bcl-x splicing by competing for the same regulatory element.

We further applied colony formation and soft agar assays with cancer cells expressing SRSF1 and SRSF1/RBM4. Compared with controls, H157 cells expressing SRSF1 formed more and larger colonies in both assays, whereas coexpression of RBM4 with SRSF1 significantly reduced colony number and size (Figure 6G), indicating that RBM4 could inhibit cancer cell proliferation by antagonizing SRSF1.




Figure 5. RBM4 Regulates Bcl-x Splicing to Inhibit Cancer Progression

(A) H157 cells with stable coexpression of RBM4/Bcl-xL, RBM4/Mcl-1, or RBM4/vector control were generated. Protein expression was confirmed by western blot analysis. HA, hemagglutinin.

(B) Splicing of Bcl-x in H157 cells expressing RBM4/Bcl-xL, RBM4, RBM4/Mcl-1, or a vector control was measured by semiquantitative RT-PCR. The mean \pm SD of Bcl-xS% from three experiments was plotted. PARP cleavage was examined by western blot analysis.

(C) Colony formation assays using H157 cells expressing RBM4, RBM4/Bcl-xL, RBM4/Mcl-1, or a vector control. Images of the whole plate are shown. Three experiments were carried out, with mean \pm SD of relative colony numbers plotted (p values were determined by Student's t test). N.S., not significant.

(D) Wound healing assay of H157 cells expressing RBM4, RBM4/Bcl-xL, RBM4/Mcl-1, or a vector control. The mean \pm SD of wound closure was plotted (p values were calculated by Student's t test). Scale bar, 200 μ m.

(E) Xenograft tumors were generated using nude mice injected with H157-luc-control, H157-luc-RBM4/Bcl-xL, or H157-luc-RBM4 cells. Tumors were removed after 5 weeks, and tumor volume was quantified. The median, upper, and lower quartiles of tumor volume were plotted as a box plot, with whiskers indicating the data range. The points that are $> 1.5 \times$ interquartile range are marked outliers.

(F and G) H157 cells expressing RBM4 or a vector control were treated with or without the Bcl-xL inhibitor WEHI-539. The resulting cells were analyzed by colony formation (F) and anchorage-independent growth (G) assays. Three experiments were carried out, with the mean \pm SD of relative colony numbers plotted (p values were calculated by Student's t test). Images of the whole plate are shown in (F). Scale bars, 100 μ m.

(H) Inverse correlation of Bcl-x and RBM4 in lung cancer patients. Oncomine was used to analyze expression data. The mean levels of Bcl-xL and RBM4 were plotted, and error bars indicate the upper and lower quartiles.

See also Figure S5.




Figure 6. RBM4 Antagonizes SRSF1 to Inhibit Cancer Cell Growth

(A) H157 cells expressing RBM4 were treated with Z-VAD or DMSO control. The apoptotic markers (cleaved PARP and caspase 3) were examined by western blot analysis.

(B) H157 cells expressing RBM4 with or without Z-VAD treatment were analyzed by colony formation, soft agar, and wound healing assays. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and representative pictures are shown. The p values were calculated by Student's t test. Images of the whole plate are shown in the top panel. Scale bars, 200 μ m.

(legend continued on next page)

RBM4 Is Reduced in NSCLC Patients and Correlates Positively with Survival

To further study the role of RBM4 as a tumor suppressor, we examined its clinical relevance in cancer patients. We first analyzed the microarray data set from various large-scale studies (Buchholz et al., 2005; Finak et al., 2008; Selamat et al., 2012) and found that tumors collected from NSCLC patients have a significantly decreased RBM4 level compared with normal controls (Figure 7A). A similar reduction of RBM4 was also observed in other cancers, including breast and pancreatic cancer (Figures S7A and S7B), consistent with the notion that RBM4 is a tumor suppressor in various human cancers.

Next we surgically collected paired NSCLC samples and adjacent normal tissues from seven patients to measure RBM4 levels. We found that, compared with the paired normal tissues, all seven primary NSCLC specimens had substantially reduced RBM4 expression in the levels of mRNA and protein (Figures 7B and 7C). This reduction was validated independently by an immunohistochemistry assay of 110 clinical samples (Figures 7D and 7E). We found that, compared with noncancerous tissues ($n = 40$), RBM4 was reduced noticeably in both lung squamous cell carcinoma ($n = 30$) and adenocarcinoma ($n = 40$). The RBM4 staining was undetectable in 57 of 70 (81%) NSCLC samples, and weak staining was detected in 13 tumors (19%). In contrast, most normal lung samples (39 of 40) exhibited strong or weak staining for RBM4.

Compared with paired normal tissues, the splicing of Bcl-x was shifted in tumor samples, with Bcl-xL being the predominant isoform (Figure 7F). In addition, the protein levels of Bcl-xL and SRSF1 were increased substantially in NSCLC samples compared with paired normal tissues (Figure 7G), further supporting our findings using cultured cancer cells. Taken together, these clinical observations strongly support the RBM4-mediated tumor suppression model derived from cell culture and animal studies.

To further investigate the clinical significance of RBM4 in lung cancers, we used a survival analysis tool, Kaplan-Meier Plotter, to analyze the overall survival of cancer patients with different RBM4 levels using data sets from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), EGA, and The Cancer Genome Atlas consortia (Gyorffy et al., 2012). Strikingly, a higher expression of RBM4 was closely associated with improved overall survival in patients with lung cancers (Figure 7H), breast cancers (Figure S7C), and ovarian cancers (Figure S7D), indicating that RBM4 might be recognized as an independent prognostic factor for cancer survival. This result validated the mechanistic link between reduced expression of RBM4 and cancer progression, supporting the conclu-

sion that reduced RBM4 expression affects human cancer progression and patient survival.

DISCUSSION

RBM4 has been reported previously to promote the inclusion of alternative exons in Tau and α -tropomyosin (Kar et al., 2006; Lin and Tarn, 2005). Using various reporters, we demonstrate that RBM4 predominantly functions as a splicing suppressor when bound directly bound to pre-mRNA. Overexpression of RBM4 in H157 cells inhibited exon inclusion in ~60% of cassette exons detected, further supporting RBM4's role as a splicing suppressor. However, a smaller fraction of AS events are positively regulated by RBM4, and we speculate that the splicing enhancement by RBM4 is likely a result of complicated mechanisms other than direct binding between pre-mRNA and RBM4 (such as indirect effects through other genes or RNA structures or by functioning together with other splicing factors). For example, RBM4 promoted exon inclusion of RON (Figure 6D; Figure S6C), which is probably due to an indirect effect resulting from a reduction of SRSF1 by RBM4.

The N-terminal RNA-binding fragment or C-terminal low-complexity domain of RBM4 were sufficient to inhibit splicing from exons, whereas the N-terminal fragment had partial splicing-inhibitory activity from introns. These results present an unusual regulatory mode compared with typical splicing factors. Many splicing factors, like SR proteins, hnRNP A1, and DAZAP1, contain a separate RNA binding module to recognize targets and an independent functional module to control splicing (Choudhury et al., 2014; Del Gatto-Konczak et al., 1999; Graveley and Maniatis, 1998). RBM4 may represent another class in which both fragments can inhibit splicing but the entire protein harbors a stronger activity, indicating that the two fragments cooperatively control splicing rather than function in a modular fashion.

Besides splicing regulation, RBM4 has also been shown to regulate translation by suppressing cap-dependent translation (Lin and Tarn, 2009), activating internal ribosomal entry site-mediated translation under cell stress (Lin et al., 2007), or mediating an oxygen-regulated translation switch (Uniacke et al., 2012). As an RNA binding protein shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm, it is possible that RBM4 may affect cell growth through controlling both translation and splicing. Future investigations should be conducted to determine whether the translation regulation by RBM4 contributes to its tumor suppression and how such a process interacts with splicing regulation.

Our results support a model that RBM4 shifts splicing of Bcl-x to control the balance between pro- and antiapoptotic pathways

(C) H157 cells were transiently transfected with increasing amounts of RBM4 and examined by western blot analysis for protein levels of SRSF1, DAZAP1, hnRNP A1, and RBM4.

(D) H157 cells expressing SRSF1, SRSF1/RBM4, or a control were collected to examine splicing of the SRSF1 targets BIN1 (left panel) and RON (right panel) by semiquantitative RT-PCR.

(E) The levels of mTOR and various mTOR targets were examined in H157 cells expressing SRSF1, SRSF1/RBM4, or a control vector using western blot analyses. Cells expressing RBM4 or a control were also treated with insulin for 30 min to measure activation of the mTOR pathway.

(F) Bcl-x splicing reporters (WT and mut4 in Figure 4A) were coexpressed with RBM4, SRSF1, or both to examine their splicing by RT-PCR.

(G) Colony formation and soft agar assays using H157 cells expressing SRSF1, SRSF1/RBM4, or control. Three experiments were carried out. The p values were calculated by Student's t test. Images of the whole plate are shown in the top panel. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

(B, D, F, and G) Mean \pm SD is plotted. See also Figure S6.




Figure 7. RBM4 in NSCLC Patients

(A) RBM4 mRNA levels in lung cancer patients as reported from Oncomine. The median, upper, and lower quartiles were plotted, and the whiskers indicate the data range. The points that are $>1.5 \times$ interquartile range are marked outliers.

(B) Total RNA isolated from paired NSCLC tumors and adjacent normal tissues were assayed by real-time RT-PCR.

(C) RBM4 levels from seven paired NSCLC tumors (T) and normal (N) tissues were analyzed by western blotting. The p value was calculated by Student's t test. Error bars indicate mean \pm SD.

(D) Normal lung tissues and NSCLC samples were collected and subjected to immunohistochemical staining with an RBM4 antibody. Scale bar, 40 μm .

(E) Quantification of RBM4 protein levels in normal lung, lung squamous carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma. The RBM4 levels were classified into three grades (negative [–], weak positive [+], and strong positive [++]) according to results from immunohistochemical staining.

(F) Splicing of Bcl-x in paired NSCLC tumor (T) and adjacent normal (N) tissue. Error bars indicate mean \pm SD.

(G) Protein levels of Bcl-xL and SRSF1 in the paired tumor and adjacent normal tissues.

(H) Kaplan-Meier curve showing overall survival of lung cancer patients with high or low RBM4 expression ($p = 3.9 \times 10^{-10}$ by log-rank test).

See also Figure S7.

and, therefore, suppresses cancer progression. Consistently, the shift of Bcl-x splicing correlates with RBM4 reduction in cancer patients, and coexpressing the antiapoptotic variant Bcl-xL partially reverses the tumor suppression by RBM4 in vitro and in mouse models. Because the splicing switch of Bcl-x is associated with many cancers to protect cancers against apoptotic signals (Danial, 2007), modulating Bcl-x splicing has been shown to be a potential therapeutic intervention for cancer (Bauman et al., 2010; Shkreta et al., 2008; Villemaire et al., 2003).

Other RBM4-regulated events may also contribute to tumor pathogenesis and progression. With genomic analyses, we found that RBM4 affected many other AS events in cell metabolism and cell cycle regulation. For example, RBM4 affects splicing of CD44, which is known to mediate cell migration, tumor progression, and metastasis (Williams et al., 2013). Several CD44 splicing variants have been found, with oncogenic roles in different cancers (Brown et al., 2011; Yae et al., 2012). These results suggest that RBM4 mediates multiple AS events critical to cancer progression. As a master regulator of cancer-related AS, RBM4 potentially inhibits tumorigenesis through multiple oncogenic pathways, which helps to explain why coexpression of Bcl-xL partially reversed the phenotype of restoring the RBM4 level in cancer.

In addition to regulating oncogenic splicing, RBM4 probably also inhibits tumor progression by antagonizing SRSF1, which is known to promote cell proliferation and delay apoptosis. The reduction of SRSF1 by RBM4 inhibits the oncogenic activity of several SRSF1 targets, including the proto-oncogene RON and the tumor suppressor BIN1. More importantly, RBM4 counteracts SRSF1 to mediate the activation of the mTORC1 pathway. Taken together, the antagonism between two splicing factors, the tumor suppressor RBM4 and the proto-oncogene SRSF1, presents a delicate functional balance that controls multiple splicing targets and signaling pathways critical for cancer proliferation.

Splicing dysregulation has been recognized recently as a major molecular hallmark of human cancer (David and Manley, 2010; Oltean and Bates, 2013). Some dysregulated AS events can serve as molecular markers of cancer, whereas others may be directly responsible for tumorigenesis. Therefore, a mechanistic study of splicing misregulation would provide new insights into cancer. This study represents an important example of how a splicing factor can control critical AS events in cancer progression. In addition, re-expression of RBM4 can inhibit tumor growth both in a cell culture system and in mouse models, suggesting that restoration of RBM4 activity may be an attractive route for future therapy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture and Splicing Assay

HEK293T, HeLa, and H157 cells were maintained under standard culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO₂) in culture medium recommended by the ATCC. To generate stable cells that express RBM4, the open reading frame was cloned into pcDNA5/FRT/TO, and the resulting vector was cotransfected with the pOG44 plasmid into Flp-In T-REx 293 cells (Life Technologies). Similarly, stable H157 cells were created using a lentiviral vector (pCDH cDNA cloning and expression lentivectors, System Biosciences). Semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2013) and was quantified using Image Quant Software (GE Healthcare) (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details).

Clinical Tissue Sample Collection

Fresh tumor tissues and normal adjacent tissues were collected from patients with pathologically and clinically confirmed carcinomas. All human tumor tissues were obtained with written informed consent from patients or their guardians prior to participation in the study. The Institutional Review Board of Dalian Medical University approved the use of the tumor specimens in this study. Most of tissue samples were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 μ m. One section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological examination and the others were used for immunohistochemistry staining. A portion of tissue specimens was kept in liquid nitrogen and sectioned for protein or mRNA extraction.

Xenograft Assays

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Dalian Medical University approved the experimental protocols performed on the animals. Female nude mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratories for subcutaneous xenograft experiments. H157-luc-RBM4 and control cells were injected subcutaneously (1×10^6 cells). Tumor size was measured by caliper every 3 days.

RNA-seq Analysis

Cell lines stably expressing RBM4 or a control vector were created, and the total RNA was purified from the cells using TRIzol reagent. The polyadenylated RNAs were purified from the cell lines for construction of a sequencing library using Illumina TruSeq Total RNA sample preparation kits (University of North Carolina High Throughput Sequencing Facility). The paired-end reads were generated by the Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 platform and mapped to the human genome. Changes of splicing isoforms were analyzed by Mixture of Isoforms pipeline.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The NCBI GEO database accession number for the RBM4 mRNA-seq data reported in this paper is GSE58594.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.07.010>.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.W. and Z.W. designed the experiments, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. Y.W., D.C., and H.Q. performed the experiments. S.S. and Q.L. helped to interpret the data. Y.T., D.D., and Y.W. analyzed the RNA-seq data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Woan-Yuh Tarn, Shu-Ching Huang, Chi Li, Benoit Chabot, and Angelique Whitehurst for providing reagents. We also thank Drs. Xiaoling Li, Wei Xu, and Lee Graves for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH Grant R01-CA158283 and by a Jefferson Pilot award (to Z.W.). Y.W. is supported by the Young Thousand Talents Program of China, by a UNC URC grant, and by an IBM junior faculty development award. Y.W., Q.L., and S.S. are supported by a program for Chang Jiang Scholars and the Innovative Research Team in University IRT13049.

Received: January 30, 2014

Revised: May 29, 2014

Accepted: July 15, 2014

Published: September 8, 2014

REFERENCES

Adams, J.M., and Cory, S. (2007). The Bcl-2 apoptotic switch in cancer development and therapy. *Oncogene* 26, 1324–1337.

- Anczuków, O., Rosenberg, A.Z., Akerman, M., Das, S., Zhan, L., Karni, R., Muthuswamy, S.K., and Krainer, A.R. (2012). The splicing factor SRSF1 regulates apoptosis and proliferation to promote mammary epithelial cell transformation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **19**, 220–228.
- Bauman, J.A., Li, S.D., Yang, A., Huang, L., and Kole, R. (2010). Anti-tumor activity of splice-switching oligonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, 8348–8356.
- Bechara, E.G., Sebestyén, E., Bernardis, I., Eyra, E., and Valcárcel, J. (2013). RBM5, 6, and 10 differentially regulate NUMB alternative splicing to control cancer cell proliferation. *Mol. Cell* **52**, 720–733.
- Ben-Hur, V., Denichenko, P., Siegfried, Z., Maimon, A., Krainer, A., Davidson, B., and Karni, R. (2013). S6K1 alternative splicing modulates its oncogenic activity and regulates mTORC1. *Cell Reports* **3**, 103–115.
- Blaustein, M., Pelisch, F., Tanos, T., Muñoz, M.J., Wengier, D., Quadrana, L., Sanford, J.R., Muschietti, J.P., Kornblihtt, A.R., Cáceres, J.F., et al. (2005). Concerted regulation of nuclear and cytoplasmic activities of SR proteins by AKT. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **12**, 1037–1044.
- Brown, R.L., Reinke, L.M., Damerow, M.S., Perez, D., Chodosh, L.A., Yang, J., and Cheng, C. (2011). CD44 splice isoform switching in human and mouse epithelium is essential for epithelial-mesenchymal transition and breast cancer progression. *J. Clin. Invest.* **121**, 1064–1074.
- Buchholz, M., Braun, M., Heidenblut, A., Kestler, H.A., Klöppel, G., Schmiegel, W., Hahn, S.A., Lüttges, J., and Gress, T.M. (2005). Transcriptome analysis of microdissected pancreatic intraepithelial neoplastic lesions. *Oncogene* **24**, 6626–6636.
- Choudhury, R., Roy, S.G., Tsai, Y.S., Tripathy, A., Graves, L.M., and Wang, Z. (2014). The splicing activator DAZAP1 integrates splicing control into MEK/Erk-regulated cell proliferation and migration. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3078.
- Danial, N.N. (2007). BCL-2 family proteins: critical checkpoints of apoptotic cell death. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **13**, 7254–7263.
- David, C.J., and Manley, J.L. (2010). Alternative pre-mRNA splicing regulation in cancer: pathways and programs unhinged. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 2343–2364.
- Dehm, S.M. (2013). mRNA splicing variants: exploiting modularity to outwit cancer therapy. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 5309–5314.
- Del Gatto-Konczak, F., Olive, M., Gesnel, M.C., and Breathnach, R. (1999). hnRNP A1 recruited to an exon in vivo can function as an exon splicing silencer. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**, 251–260.
- Erkelenz, S., Mueller, W.F., Evans, M.S., Busch, A., Schöneweis, K., Hertel, K.J., and Schaal, H. (2013). Position-dependent splicing activation and repression by SR and hnRNP proteins rely on common mechanisms. *RNA* **19**, 96–102.
- Fairbrother, W.G., Yeh, R.F., Sharp, P.A., and Burge, C.B. (2002). Predictive identification of exonic splicing enhancers in human genes. *Science* **297**, 1007–1013.
- Finak, G., Bertos, N., Pepin, F., Sadekova, S., Souleimanova, M., Zhao, H., Chen, H., Omeroglu, G., Meterissian, S., Omeroglu, A., et al. (2008). Stromal gene expression predicts clinical outcome in breast cancer. *Nat. Med.* **14**, 518–527.
- Ghigna, C., Valacca, C., and Biamonti, G. (2008). Alternative splicing and tumor progression. *Curr. Genomics* **9**, 556–570.
- Graveley, B.R., and Maniatis, T. (1998). Arginine/serine-rich domains of SR proteins can function as activators of pre-mRNA splicing. *Mol. Cell* **1**, 765–771.
- Gyorffy, B., Lánckzy, A., and Szállási, Z. (2012). Implementing an online tool for genome-wide validation of survival-associated biomarkers in ovarian-cancer using microarray data from 1287 patients. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **19**, 197–208.
- Kar, A., Havlioglu, N., Tarn, W.Y., and Wu, J.Y. (2006). RBM4 interacts with an intronic element and stimulates tau exon 10 inclusion. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 24479–24488.
- Karni, R., de Stanchina, E., Lowe, S.W., Sinha, R., Mu, D., and Krainer, A.R. (2007). The gene encoding the splicing factor SF2/ASF is a proto-oncogene. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **14**, 185–193.
- Karni, R., Hippo, Y., Lowe, S.W., and Krainer, A.R. (2008). The splicing-factor oncoprotein SF2/ASF activates mTORC1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 15323–15327.
- Lai, M.C., Kuo, H.W., Chang, W.C., and Tarn, W.Y. (2003). A novel splicing regulator shares a nuclear import pathway with SR proteins. *EMBO J.* **22**, 1359–1369.
- Lessene, G., Czabotar, P.E., Sleebs, B.E., Zobel, K., Lowes, K.N., Adams, J.M., Baell, J.B., Colman, P.M., Deshayes, K., Fairbrother, W.J., et al. (2013). Structure-guided design of a selective BCL-X(L) inhibitor. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **9**, 390–397.
- Lin, J.C., and Tarn, W.Y. (2005). Exon selection in alpha-tropomyosin mRNA is regulated by the antagonistic action of RBM4 and PTB. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**, 10111–10121.
- Lin, J.C., and Tarn, W.Y. (2009). RNA-binding motif protein 4 translocates to cytoplasmic granules and suppresses translation via argonaute2 during muscle cell differentiation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 34658–34665.
- Lin, J.C., Hsu, M., and Tarn, W.Y. (2007). Cell stress modulates the function of splicing regulatory protein RBM4 in translation control. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 2235–2240.
- Lin, J.C., Yan, Y.T., Hsieh, W.K., Peng, P.J., Su, C.H., and Tarn, W.Y. (2013). RBM4 promotes pancreas cell differentiation and insulin expression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **33**, 319–327.
- Matera, A.G., and Wang, Z. (2014). A day in the life of the spliceosome. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **15**, 108–121.
- Matlin, A.J., Clark, F., and Smith, C.W. (2005). Understanding alternative splicing: towards a cellular code. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **6**, 386–398.
- Mercatante, D.R., Bortner, C.D., Cidlowski, J.A., and Kole, R. (2001). Modification of alternative splicing of Bcl-x pre-mRNA in prostate and breast cancer cells. analysis of apoptosis and cell death. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 16411–16417.
- Oltean, S., and Bates, D.O. (2013). Hallmarks of alternative splicing in cancer. *Oncogene*. Published online December 16, 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2013.533>.
- Sakamuro, D., Elliott, K.J., Wechsler-Reya, R., and Prendergast, G.C. (1996). BIN1 is a novel MYC-interacting protein with features of a tumour suppressor. *Nat. Genet.* **14**, 69–77.
- Schwerk, C., and Schulze-Osthoff, K. (2005). Regulation of apoptosis by alternative pre-mRNA splicing. *Mol. Cell* **19**, 1–13.
- Selamat, S.A., Chung, B.S., Girard, L., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., Campan, M., Siegmund, K.D., Koss, M.N., Hagen, J.A., Lam, W.L., et al. (2012). Genome-scale analysis of DNA methylation in lung adenocarcinoma and integration with mRNA expression. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1197–1211.
- Shkreta, L., Froehlich, U., Paquet, E.R., Toutant, J., Elela, S.A., and Chabot, B. (2008). Anticancer drugs affect the alternative splicing of Bcl-x and other human apoptotic genes. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **7**, 1398–1409.
- Shkreta, L., Bell, B., Revil, T., Venables, J.P., Prinos, P., Elela, S.A., and Chabot, B. (2013). Cancer-Associated Perturbations in Alternative Pre-messenger RNA Splicing. *Cancer Treat. Res.* **158**, 41–94.
- Uniacke, J., Holterman, C.E., Lachance, G., Franovic, A., Jacob, M.D., Fabian, M.R., Payette, J., Holcik, M., Pause, A., and Lee, S. (2012). An oxygen-regulated switch in the protein synthesis machinery. *Nature* **486**, 126–129.
- Venables, J.P. (2006). Unbalanced alternative splicing and its significance in cancer. *BioEssays* **28**, 378–386.
- Venables, J.P., Klinck, R., Bramard, A., Inkel, L., Dufresne-Martin, G., Koh, C., Gervais-Bird, J., Lapointe, E., Froehlich, U., Durand, M., et al. (2008). Identification of alternative splicing markers for breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* **68**, 9525–9531.
- Villemare, J., Dion, I., Elela, S.A., and Chabot, B. (2003). Reprogramming alternative pre-messenger RNA splicing through the use of protein-binding antisense oligonucleotides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 50031–50039.
- Wang, Z., and Burge, C.B. (2008). Splicing regulation: from a parts list of regulatory elements to an integrated splicing code. *RNA* **14**, 802–813.
- Wang, Y., Cheong, C.G., Hall, T.M., and Wang, Z. (2009). Engineering splicing factors with designed specificities. *Nat. Methods* **6**, 825–830.

- Wang, Y., Ma, M., Xiao, X., and Wang, Z. (2012). Intronic splicing enhancers, cognate splicing factors and context-dependent regulation rules. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 19, 1044–1052.
- Wang, Y., Xiao, X., Zhang, J., Choudhury, R., Robertson, A., Li, K., Ma, M., Burge, C.B., and Wang, Z. (2013). A complex network of factors with overlapping affinities represses splicing through intronic elements. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20, 36–45.
- Warzecha, C.C., Jiang, P., Amirikian, K., Dittmar, K.A., Lu, H., Shen, S., Guo, W., Xing, Y., and Carstens, R.P. (2010). An ESRP-regulated splicing programme is abrogated during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *EMBO J.* 29, 3286–3300.
- Williams, K., Motiani, K., Giridhar, P.V., and Kasper, S. (2013). CD44 integrates signaling in normal stem cell, cancer stem cell and (pre)metastatic niches. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* 238, 324–338.
- Yae, T., Tsuchihashi, K., Ishimoto, T., Motohara, T., Yoshikawa, M., Yoshida, G.J., Wada, T., Masuko, T., Mogushi, K., Tanaka, H., et al. (2012). Alternative splicing of CD44 mRNA by ESRP1 enhances lung colonization of metastatic cancer cell. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 883.
- Zhu, H., Guo, W., Zhang, L., Davis, J.J., Teraishi, F., Wu, S., Cao, X., Daniel, J., Smythe, W.R., and Fang, B. (2005). Bcl-XL small interfering RNA suppresses the proliferation of 5-fluorouracil-resistant human colon cancer cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 4, 451–456.